



Interview Summary

Application No. 09/385,336 Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

Roberts et al.

	Clark F. Dexter	3724	<u> </u>
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):		
(1) Mr. Keith Haupt	_ (3)		
(2) Mr. Clark Dexter			
Date of Interview Mar 5, 2002	_		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🔀 No. If yes, brief description	on: 	
Claim(s) discussed: 27 and 35 Identification of prior art discussed: Keeny, pn 5,713,256; Burr, pn 5,222,624			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached			
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	al nature of what was agreed to if	an agreement v	vas reached, or
Mr. Haupt described how the present invention differs fro			
regarding the prior art rejection to claim 35 is that Burr do	pes not teach and the prior art doe	es not suggest a	detector
means for detecting a ticket that was dispensed from the	dispensing means. Mr. Dexter's	position is that t	le consideration
work piece and therefore has not been given significant patentable weight. Mr. Dexter stated that favorable consideration would be given to claiming the tickets in combination with the terminal. Regarding claim 27, Mr. Dexter's position was			
similar regarding the work piece defined in the claim. Mr. Dexter stated that favorable consideration would be given to			
further defining the invention by reciting a controller to ho	ld the tickets during separation. I	Further, Mr. Hau	pt stated that
Keény has two rotating separators and the present invent			fining that the
invention has only one separator would obviate the claims	ed invention over the applied prior	art.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agree copy of the amendments that wo	ed would render ould render the c	the claims laims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep	arate record of the substance of t	he interview (if	box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	PEP section 713.04). If a reply to ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	o the last Office FILE A STATEM	action has ENT OF THE
			^

Interview Summary

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3724